



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,044	07/08/2003	Paul Andrew Benjes	223505	3936	
23460 7	590 07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	IT & MAYER, LTD	SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A			
	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 490 TETSON AVENUE	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL			1626	1626	
				D. T. L. H. ED. 02/22/2005	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Refere the Filing of an Anneal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/615,044		BENJES ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Taofiq A. Solola	1626	

Before the riling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Taofiq A. Solola	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 May 2005. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.</li> </ol>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the			
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Construction discussion des				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-9,11-17.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:		Yaofiq A. Solola Primary Examiner	la			
		Primary Examiner				

Primary Exam Art Unit: 1626

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are not patentable under 35 USC 112 and are still obvious from the prior art..